Journal of Fluorine Chemistry 129 (2008) 731-742



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Fluorine Chemistry

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fluor

Review

Use of fluorinated functionality in enzyme inhibitor development: Mechanistic and analytical advantages

David B. Berkowitz^{*}, Kannan R. Karukurichi, Roberto de la Salud-Bea, David L. Nelson, Christopher D. McCune

Department of Chemistry, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE 68588-0304, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 20 April 2008 Received in revised form 15 May 2008 Accepted 19 May 2008 Available online 10 July 2008

Keywords: Alpha-fluorinated ketones Fluoro-sugars Fluorovinyl amino acids 19-F NMR spectroscopy Transition state analogues Suicide substrate Mechanism-based inhibitors Enzyme-activated inhibitors Trojan horse inhibitiors Partition ratio

ABSTRACT

On the one hand, owing to its electronegativity, relatively small size, and notable leaving group ability from anionic intermediates, fluorine offers unique opportunities for mechanism-based enzyme inhibitor design. On the other, the "bio-orthogonal" and NMR-active 19-fluorine nucleus allows the bioorganic chemist to follow the mechanistic fate of fluorinated substrate analogues or inhibitors as they are enzymatically processed. This article takes an overview of the field, highlighting key developments along these lines. It begins by highlighting new screening methodologies for drug discovery that involve appropriate tagging of either the substrate or an array of potential substrates (i.e. in proteomics screens) with ¹⁹F-markers that then report back on turnover and function, respectively, via the NMR screen. Taking this one step further, substrate-tagging with fluorine can be done in such a manner as to provide stereochemical information on enzyme mechanism. For example, substitution of one of the terminal hydrogens in phosphoenolpyruvate, provides insight into the, otherwise latent, facial selectivity of C-C bond formation in KDO synthase. Perhaps, most importantly, from the point of view of this discussion, appropriately tailored fluorinated functionality can be used to form stabilized "transition state analogue" complexes with target enzymes. Thus, 5-fluorinated pyrimidines, α -fluorinated ketones, and 2-fluoro-2deoxysugars each lead to covalent adduction of catalytic active site residues in thymidylate synthase (TS), serine protease and glycosidase enzymes, respectively. In all such cases, ¹⁹F NMR allows the bioorganic chemist to spectrally follow "transition state analogue" formation. Finally, the use of specific fluorinated functionality to engineer "suicide substrates" is highlighted in a discussion of the development of the α -(2'Z-fluoro)vinyl trigger for amino acid decarboxylase inactivation. Here ¹⁹F NMR allows the bioorganic chemist to glean useful partition ratio data directly from the NMR tube.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. 2. 3. 4.	Introduction Emergence of ¹⁹ F-based NMR screens for inhibitor development and functional proteomics Use of fluorinated functionality to reveal latent enzyme stereochemistry Use of fluorinated functionality for "transition state analogue" inhibition. 4.1 α-Trifluoromethyl ketones as serine protease inhibitors 4.1 4.2 5-Fluorodeoxyuridylate monophosphate and thymidylate synthase 4.3 Fluoro-sugars as glycosidase inhibitors. Use of fluorinated functionality for "suicide substrate" inactivation Acknowledgements Acknowledgements	732 732 733 733 733 735 737 737 737 741
	Acknowledgements References	741 741

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 402 472 2738; fax: +1 402 472 9402. *E-mail address*: dbb@unlserve.unl.edu (D.B. Berkowitz).

^{0022-1139/\$ –} see front matter @ 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jfluchem.2008.05.016

1. Introduction

The C–F bond is one of the strongest covalent bonds available, with an average bond energy of approximately 105-116 kcal/mol. This contributes significantly to the relative metabolic inertness of carbon-fluorine bonds, particularly those at unactivated sp²carbon-centers. Moreover, introduction of a C-F bond imposes only modest steric constraints, as the C-F bond (1.41-1.47 Å) is slightly shorter than a C-OH bond (1.52 Å) [1]. And while fluorine is the most electronegative element in the periodic table, it also has a very a small atomic radius, resulting in an exceptionally low polarizability. DiMagno has pointed out that this feature of organically bound fluorine means that fluoroalkyl groups are less able to engage in dispersion-based interactions with aqueous solvent than simple alkyl groups. He has proposed the term, "polar hydrophobicity" [2] to describe this phenomenon, and points out that this may provide unique opportunities for enhancing ligand binding to a protein target [3]. In terms of specific interactions with functionalities in proteins, while C-F bonds appear to have rather limited H-bond acceptor ability [4-6], in optimally aligned cases F-H–N–amide interactions may make contributions to binding [7–9]. Additionally, more recent observations by Diederich and Müller [10–12] suggest that the hard C–F bond is able to engage amide carbonyls in specific attractive interactions reminiscent of the sort of trajectory-dependent $n-\pi^*$ (amine-carbonyl) interactions suggested by Bürgi and Dunitz years before [13,14]. Finally, in the context of ionizable groups, such as fluorinated phosphonates as phosphate surrogates, one can use position and degree of organic fluorination to finely tune the pK_a of the surrogate [15]. Thus, the α -monofluorophosphonates are generally "isoacidic" with the phosphate monoesters that they mimic [16,17]. For all of these reasons, incorporation of fluorinated functionality into ligands directed at protein targets is often advantageous, and will likely remain an important stratagem in medicinal chemistry for years to come [9,18-21]. An interesting new development along these lines involves the incorporation of the SF₅-group, in place of CF₃ groups, for example, as has been put forth by Welch and Lim [22].

It is the purpose of this article to focus on the advantage offered by specific fluorinated functional groups, in both inhibitor design, and in mechanistic analysis. In this regard, emphasis will be placed on the possibility of observing protein-ligand interactions through the use of ¹⁹F NMR, and on the development of organofluorine functional groups to target active sites of interest, based upon an understanding of mechanism. We will begin with examples in which fluoroorganics are strategically introduced to serve as NMRbased reporting elements to provide (i) the medicinal chemist with a rapid screen for enzyme inhibition; (ii) the functional proteomics investigator with an assay for function and (iii) the mechanistic enzymologist with information on the stereochemical course of a biocatalytic reaction. From there, our discussion will move into organofluorine functionalities that have been specifically tailored to produce either transition state analogue inhibition or irreversible, enzyme-activated inhibition (i.e. suicide substrates).

2. Emergence of ¹⁹F-based NMR screens for inhibitor development and functional proteomics

The past decade or so has seen the coming of age of NMR spectroscopy as a screening tool to facilitate the drug discovery process. This is particularly due to the influential work of Fesik and co-workers in developing so-called SAR by NMR techniques [23,24]. The last few years have seen the emergence of a number of creative ¹⁹F-based NMR techniques that highlight the utility of fluorinated functionality in such systems. Notable advantages of the fluorine nucleus include its virtual "bio-orthogonality" [25],

and its responsiveness to environmental factors. This is particularly true if one considers fluorination of an enzymatic substrate. The ¹⁹F isotropic chemical shift is very sensitive to small structural perturbations, resulting in chemical shift changes with substrate turnover, even in cases where the label is distal to the site of the chemistry. Moreover, if one employs CF_3 groups as tags, one increases sensitivity, generating sharp singlets in the ¹⁹F spectrum and obviating the need for proton-decoupling, so long as the CF_3 groups are not scalar-coupled to ¹H nuclei. Thus, trifluoromethylated aromatics are ideal platforms for such applications.

This area has really blossomed in past several years, due in no small part to the work of Dalvit [26]. As is shown in Figs. 1 and 2, for screens of enzyme activity on peptide substrates this technique is particularly well-suited. If one employs trifluoromethylated aromatic amino acids, a single CF₃ group suffices to yield clean assays for both peptide phosphorylation, by AKT kinase in this case, or peptide cleavage, by trypsin here (Fig. 1). Note that the fluorinated reporting amino acid does not itself undergo chemical transformation for either reaction being screened. Because CF₃ groups are employed for the reasons elaborated above, Dalvit labels this method 3-FABS (3 Fluorine Atoms for Biochemical Screening) [27]. A similar approach has been taken by Giralt and co-workers to screen for HIV protease inhibitors [28]. Quite recently, the Dalvit group has pointed out that the installation of reporting amino acids with two symmetrically disposed CF₃ groups (Fig. 2) increases sensitivity [29]. Indeed, his group has shown that sensitivity can be improved still further through the application of cryoprobe technology. These studies have established the ability of such CF₃-tagged substrate methods to yield accurate IC₅₀ values. Thus, the 3-FABS approach is expected to see wider application for inhibitor screening, in automated manifolds, particularly in combinatorial chemistry applications.

In addition to this, one of the most promising observations to arise from this work is the notion that one might be able to generate a library of tagged substrates to probe for protein function. Thus, the tetradecapeptide illustrated in Fig. 1 gives unambiguous and distinct signature signals for its specific phosphorylation by AKT kinase, and for its cleavage by trypsin (note that though up to four potential tryptic cleavage sites are present, one appears to be preferred). When used as a test substrate for the PAK-4 protein, one see the signature of serinekinase activity. It remains to be seen how many such test peptide/ signature reactions can be screened in parallel, perhaps in a single NMR tube, but the possibilities are intriguing, to be sure. One can imagine, for example, given optimal chemical shift dispersion, rapidly getting a fingerprint for the substrate specificities of newly isolated kinases, phosphatases, proteases or perhaps even histone acetyltransferases and/or histone deacetylases, by such methods. A principal advantage of such ¹⁹F-based functional proteomics techniques is the near "bio-orthogonality" of carbon-bound fluorine [25].

3. Use of fluorinated functionality to reveal latent enzyme stereochemistry

Furdui, Anderson and co-workers have provided an elegant current example of how fluorine can be employed as an analytical tool to study enzyme mechanism, even illuminating otherwise latent issues of pi-facial selectivity. Thus, both *E*- and *Z*-isomers of 3-fluoro-PEP serve as substrates for the enzyme KDO (3-deoxy-D-manno-2-octulosonate) 8-phosphate synthase [30]. And while the product stereochemistry requires that the key C–C bond forming step involve attack of the nucleophilic-PEP 3-carbon upon the *re*-face of D-arabinose 5-phosphate, any facial selectivity with respect to PEP would be invisible here. As can be seen in the ¹⁹F NMR



Fig. 1. The 3-FABS method used to screen for protease and kinase activity simultaneously—pep = substrate peptide; P-pep = kinase product; C-pep = tryptic digestion product; ¹⁹F NMR (564 MHz). (NMR figure reprinted with permission from *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003 *125*, 14620-5. Copyright 2003 American Chemical Society).

spectrum of this enzymatic reaction (Fig. 3), Aquifex pyrophilus KDO8PS takes the *E*-isomer (-150 ppm) of 3-fluoro-PEP noticeably faster than the *Z*-isomer (-138 ppm), and, in so doing, catalyzes an aldol condensation at what would correspond to the *si*-face of the PEP substrate. This can be clearly seen from the NMR spectrum, as the new product carries the spectroscopic signature of authentic (3*R*)-fluoro-KDO-8P (δ^{19} F @ -206 ppm). Interestingly, this *A. pyrophilus* enzyme and the KDO8PS from *E. coli* display the same facial selectivity for PEP and the same preference for the *E*-fluorinated compound, though they belong to different mechanistic classes, with only the latter being metal-dependent.

4. Use of fluorinated functionality for "transition state analogue" inhibition

Particular advantage is offered by carefully crafted fluorinated functional groups that are able to trap out enzyme bound species resembling intermediates or "transition states." Though the term "transition state analogue" used here must be understood figuratively, it has become common parlance in the field. Even in the most apropos cases, the term is a bit of an oxymoron, when one thinks about the concavity of a free energy surface. A true transition state mimic would sit at a concave downward point on that surface, whereas for tight-binding inhibition, the E–TS analogue complex must rest in a free energy well, i.e. in a concave upward region. That said, in some cases, one, in fact, succeeds in forming a reversible covalent enzyme–inhibitor complex that resembles a high energy intermediate along the normal enzymatic reaction coordinate, but for which no productive pathway forward (to product) is available. And, by a Hammond postulate argument, mimicking a high energy intermediate perhaps justifies the label "transition state" analogue. This could be said of the α -fluorinated ketone inhibitors for serine proteases discussed below, as well as for the 2-fluoro-sugar glycosidase inhibitors. In all of the cases presented here, fluorination serves the dual purpose of fine-tuning the functional group to form a stable complex with the target enzyme, and of providing a unique spectroscopic window through which the bioorganic chemist can follow and characterize the formation of this complex.

4.1. α -Trifluoromethyl ketones as serine protease inhibitors

Abeles and co-workers pioneered the use of α -fluorinated ketones as serine protease inhibitors. In this design, the α -CF_n substituent destabilizes the C–O π -bond to which it is attached. This renders the carbonyl susceptible to hydration, and to addition of the active site serine, when incorporated into a suitable peptide



polyfluorinated glycine (PFG)

polyfluorinated amino acids (PFAs)

Fig. 2. Polyfluorinated amino acids to improve sensitivity ¹⁹F NMR-based screening methods.



Fig. 3. Use of a ¹⁹F stereochemical marker to decipher latent facial selectivity in the mechanism of KDO8P synthase (¹⁹F NMR reprinted with permission from *Biochemistry* 2005 44, 7326-35. Copyright 2005 American Chemical Society).

scaffold, mimicking a typical substrate (Fig. 4). The resultant hemiacetal addition complex represents an analogue of the tetrahedral intermediate formed upon the normal reaction coordinate for enzymatic peptide bond cleavage. A secondary effect of the fluoroalkyl substituent is to lower the hemiacetal pK_a for this tetrahedral intermediate (vide infra for ¹⁹F NMR titration). This, in turn, insures essentially complete oxyanionic character of the addition complex, promoting favorable interactions with the active site "oxyanion hole."

An early study by Imperiali and Abeles [31] established that for *N*-acetylleucine-phenylalanyl-fluoroketone dipeptide mimics, both the percent hydration and the potency of chymotrypsin inhibition correlate nicely with the extent of α -fluorination. Thus, ¹⁹F NMR established that the α -monofluoroketone is approximately 50% hydrated, whereas the di- and trifluoroketones are \sim 100% hydrated in aqueous solution. K₁ decreases from 200 to 25 to 1 µM, in this series. Subsequent work showed it possible to monitor the formation of the active site serine adduct by ¹⁹F NMR [32]. Comparison of chemical shifts with those of model compounds was consistent with hemiketal formation (Fig. 5).

Moreover, pH titration of the E-I complex, as monitored by ¹⁹F NMR (Fig. 6), allowed for experimental estimation of the bound, α fluorinated hemiketal pK_a at 4.9 [32,33]. Thus, the α -fluorinated hemiketal pK_a is apparently lowered by approximately 4 log units, in the active site, suggestive of favorable interactions in the oxvanion hole. These studies firmly established the utility of such fluorinated functionality, both to trap out a stable mimic of the E-S tetrahedral intermediate, and as an analytical tool providing a ¹⁹F beacon, allowing the experimentalist to monitor the formation of this binary complex and its chemical environment. The work built on earlier studies by Shah and Gorenstein that established ¹⁹F NMR as an analytical tool to monitor transition state analogue formation with peptidyl aldehydes in which a ¹⁹F tag had been inserted at the *p*-position of a phenylalaninal ring [34,35].



More recently, the structural details of this protein-bound

Fig. 4. Carbonyls with a high propensity for hydration serve as transition state analogue inhibitors for chymotrypsin.

hemiketal presaged by these nice, NMR-assisted bioorganic



Fig. 5. Formation of the tetrahedral adduct with the active site serine of chymotrypsin. *Lower inset*: ¹⁹F spectrum (reprinted with permission from *Biochemistry* 1986 25, 3760-7. Copyright 1986 American Chemical Society) showing both free inhibitor (hydrate, @ –82 ppm) and the covalent enzyme adduct (broad peak @ –80 ppm).

studies, have been revealed by X-ray crystallographic studies of the complex [36]. The canonical Ser-195-His-57-Asp-102 catalytic triad is highlighted in Fig. 7, with the former residue clearly having added in to the activated carbonyl center. Later, higher resolution structures of this same complex and the analogous chymotrypsin-*N*-Ac-phenylalanine-COF₃ complex [37] were taken as structural evidence by Frey and co-workers for a "low barrier hydrogen-bond (LBHB)" postulated to form in the chymotrypsin transition state. Specifically, the observed δ -N-His(57)- δ -O-Asp(102) distance of 2.6 Å is less than the sum of the van der Waals radii of N and O (2.7 Å), thereby meeting the definition of a LBHB. More recently, Warshel has called this view of the complex into question, concluding rather that one is seeing tight complexation here as



Fig. 6. ¹⁹F NMR titration of the TS-analogue complex shown in Figs. 5 and 7 (reprinted with permission from *Biochemistry* 1987 26, 7603-8. Copyright 1987 American Chemical Society).

result of dipole preorganization by the protein [38]. All of these studies highlight the value of this fluorinated functionality in mechanistic enzymology, providing insight into factors stabilizing the enzymatic transition state.

Trifluoromethyl ketone inhibitors of other serine proteases, such as elastase and α -lytic protease [39] and the notable cardiovascular target chymase [40,41] have been developed. A related α , α -difluoropyruvamide motif is also quite effective in the chymotrypsin active site, as illustrated in Fig. 5 [42]. The fluorinated ketone transition state analogue approach can be extended to the inhibition of serine esterases, including acetylcholinesterase [43] and phospholipase A2 [44]. ¹⁹F NMR can be applied to this class of enzymes as well, to observe E–I complex formation [45]. Interestingly, the zinc-metalloproteases carboxypeptidase A and angiotensin converting enzyme [46] are also susceptible to inhibition based upon this design [47].

4.2. 5-Fluorodeoxyuridylate monophosphate and thymidylate synthase

Over the last 25 years, 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) has seen widespread clinical application, in chemotherapy, particularly for colorectal cancer [48]. On the one hand, 5-FU does get incorporated into both nucleosides and 2'-deoxynucleosides and these nucleosides are fully phosphorylated to the 5'-triphosphates. This leads to the incorporation of 5-FU into both DNA and RNA. However, incorporation into nucleic acid does not generally correlate with effectiveness as a chemotherapeutic agent [49]. On the other hand, the key 5-FU metabolite, 5-fluoro-dUMP acts, as designed, as an effective inhibitor of the enzyme, thymidylate synthase. This enzyme normally catalyzes the one carbon transfer from N^5 , N^{10} methylene-tetrahydrofolate (CH₂-THF) to the 5-position of dUMP, producing thymidine-5'-monophosphate and dihydrofolate (DHF). This is an essential step in pyrimidine biosynthesis, and the effectiveness of 5-FU as a chemotherapeutic generally correlates well with TS levels in the target tumor, and with effectiveness in TS activity knockdown upon treatment.



Fig. 7. X-ray crystallographic view of the same transition state analogue complex shown in Fig. 5 (PDB ID 7GCH).

The 5-fluoro-dUMP system is also one of the earliest in which a mechanistically important fluorine atom would report back to the bioorganic chemist on inhibition mechanism. By design, 5-fluorination should promote the conjugate addition of the active

site cysteine and allow for the capture of the resultant α -fluoroenolate with the iminium ion derived from CH₂-THF (Fig. 8). However, the accepted mechanistic third step, α , β -elimination of THF, would necessarily be prevented by α -fluorination. This, by



Fig. 8. Formation of the 5F-dUMP-CH₂-THF-TS ternary complex: *Top*: color-coded reaction scheme; *Bottom right*: 188.8 MHz ¹⁹F NMR spectrum, showing progressive formation of the binary, pseudo-ternary and covalent ternary complex (reprinted with permission from *Biochemistry* 1993 32, 9274-81. Copyright 1993 American Chemical Society); *Bottom left*: three-dimensional structure of the ternary complex (PDB ID:1TLS).



Fig. 9. Use of the 2",4"-dinitrophenyl 2-fluoro-2-deoxy- β -cellobioside to trap out the carboxylate residue in the active site of *Cellulomonas fimi* glucanase. *Lower inset*: ¹⁹F NMR of complex formation (reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: *Nature Struct. Biol.* 1996 3, 149-154, copyright 1996). The signals at –198.1 and –198.4 ppm are assigned to the unbound and hydrolyzed inhibitor, respectively. The broader signal at –195.4 ppm is due to the covalent intermediate. Note that the chemical shift (–205.5 ppm) of the adduct from the fluoro-sugar possessing the manno-stereochemistry unambiguously establishes the α -stereochemistry of the adduct with Glu-233. *Right hand inset*: view of the X-ray crystal structure of this complex (PDB ID: 1EXP).

design, should lead to the build-up of a covalent $E-I-CH_2-THF$ complex. Indeed, as early as 1976, James et al. described ¹⁹F NMR evidence of a successful adduction of the active site nucleophile via conjugate addition [50]. These studies were performed on a peptide fragment of the protein that included the adducted active site cysteine.

Several years later, an elegant series of studies by Dunlap and co-workers with the intact thymidylate synthase protein [51–54] demonstrated that one could follow and differentiate the formation of (i) binary (E-FdUMP); (ii) pseudo-ternary (E-FdUMP+THF) and (iii) covalent ternary (E-FdUMP-CH₂-THF) complexes by ¹⁹F NMR. The spectroscopic signatures for these complexes are provided in Fig. 8. Comparison with the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of the bisulfite adduct of 5-fluoro-dUMP guided the original assignment of the covalent, binary adduct as the 5,6dihydro derivative of the fluorinated pyrimidine. Independent determinations of the three dimensional structure for the covalent ternary complex with the E. coli enzyme have been provided from the groups of Matthews and Montfort. A rendering of the latter structure with VMD [55] is presented in Fig. 8, demonstrating clearly that the ternary complex has been arrested, following conjugate addition of cysteine-146 and THF-methylenation, as designed.

4.3. Fluoro-sugars as glycosidase inhibitors

The Withers group has introduced a strategy for inhibiting glycosidases that exploits an α -fluoro effect not unlike that established by Abeles in the serine protease arena (vide supra). A common feature of "retaining glycosidases" is that they are believed to proceed via the intermediacy of a covalent E–S complex. Moreover, both the formation and hydrolysis of the glycosyl enzyme species proceed via transition states with considerable oxocarbenium ion character. Thus, α -fluorination, just as for a carbonyl center, is expected to destabilize this onium species, slowing both the rates of glycosyl enzyme formation and breakdown. However, the inclusion of a reactive leaving group, such as dinitrophenol or fluoride at the anomeric center of the

inhibitor serves to accelerate formation of the glycosyl enzyme intermediate with these inhibitors. Once formed, the adduct is greatly stabilized by the presence of the 2-fluoro-substituent. Such activated 2-deoxy-2-fluorosaccharides have now been shown to trap out active site carboxylate residues in a number of glycosidase active sites. The original work was carried with β -glucosidase [56– 58], and both the formation of an active site 2-deoxy-2fluoroglucoside, and its α -stereochemistry were established by ¹⁹F NMR. Fig. 9 illustrates a more recent example in which one observes the capture of glutamate-233, with the α -anomeric stereochemistry, in the active site of β -glucanase via ¹⁹F NMR [59]. Subsequent solution of the three dimensional X-ray structure of the E–I complex confirmed these assignments.

The same principle has now been applied to the inhibition of lysozyme [60], as well as xylanase [61], galactosidase [62], mannosidase [63] enzymes. More recent studies indicate that sialidase enzymes, important bacterial, viral and trypanosomal targets, are also effectively adducted with fluorinated neuraminic acids [64]. Here, X-ray crystallography has indeed, established that the trapped out active site residue is a tyrosine, rather than a glutamate or aspartate residue [65–67]. Even beyond this, success has been achieved in inhibiting 1,3-fucosyltransferase, for example, with guanosine 5'-diphospho-2-deoxy-2-fluoro- β -L-fucose (GDP-2F-Fuc) [68], suggesting that the Withers approach to the inhibition of glycosyl hydrolase enzymes may be quite broadly extensible to the domain of glycoside synthesis enzymes, as well.

5. Use of fluorinated functionality for "suicide substrate" inactivation

Quite a number of tailored fluorinated functional groups serve as the basis for the suicide inactivator development. A most notable example is α -difluoromethylornithine (DFMO), a mechanism-based inactivator of L-ornithine decarboxylase (ODC), the enzyme that controls flux through the polyamine pathway, associated with cell growth and differentiation. Inactivation of this enzyme proceeds via pyridoxal phosphate (PLP)-assisted decarboxylative elimination of fluoride to produce a fluorinated Michael acceptor that is captured by an active site cysteine residue. This has been established by both mass spectrometric [69] and Xray crystallographic studies [70] from the groups of Pegg and Phillips, respectively. DFMO inhibition of trypanosomal ODC cures African Sleeping Sickness [71]. The compound is also under clinical evaluation for the prevention of colon cancer [72,73].

Gemcitabine, 2'-deoxy-2',2'-difluorocytidine, is widely used in the treatment of advanced pancreatic cancer. The compound is a pro-drug, being 5'-phosphorylated in vivo. The triphoshpate is a DNA polymerase chain terminator, whereas the diphosphate is a suicide substrate for ribonucleotide reductase, an enzyme that operates via a radical mechanism, using an active site tyrosyl radical. Fluoride's leaving group ability, once again, is exploited here [74].

Like DFMO, α -monofluorinated amino acids, can be used as suicide substrates for PLP-dependent enzymes, and, once again the leaving group ability of fluoride is important in the inactivation mechanism, in this case. However, the monofluoromethyl trigger generally leads to an enamine-based nucleophilic inhibition mechanism [75,76] first observed by Metzler with serine *O*-sulfate [77,78]. Another notable PLP enzyme inactivator is α -(1'-fluor-o)vinylglycine, a suicide substrate for both bacterial alanine racemase [79,80] and for tryptophan synthase [81]. Once again, the ability of fluoride to leave from an electron rich intermediate is exploited, presumably leading to an allenic imine, following enzymatic α -deprotonation, as originally proposed by Abeles.

Finally, the use of *o*- or *p*-(di)fluoromethylphenoxy or thiophenoxy leaving groups, as precursors to (halo)quinone methides has also been exploited in phosphatase, glycosidase and amidase active sites by the groups of Widlanski [82–84], Danzin [85] and Badet [86–88], respectively. Recently, Thompson has shown that arginine deiminase enzymes can be effectively targeted utilizing a fluorinated acetamidine functionality [89,90].

That said, the discussion here will focus on work in these laboratories, specifically on the development of the α -(2'Z-fluoro)vinyl trigger for PLP-dependent amino acid decarboxylase (AADC) inactivation. This approach evolved from initial efforts to stereoselectively install the simple, unsubstituted α -vinyl trigger,

itself. α -Vinylglycine is a naturally occurring inactivator of various PLP-dependent enzymes [91], including transaminases and ACC (1-amino-1-cyclopropanecarboxylate) synthase [92]. The natural occurrence of this Trojan horse functionality presumably inspired its incorporation into γ -vinyl-GABA (Vigabatrin), an effective GABA transaminase inactivator [93,94], and anti-convulsant drug. In both of these cases, X-ray crystallographic studies [93,92] have now confirmed that the active site lysine is captured, presumably via conjugate addition to an α , β -unsaturated iminium ion, following enzyme-mediated azallylic isomerization.

If one wishes to use such a π -trigger to selectively target PLPdependent AADC's, as opposed to transaminase, racemase, eliminase or replacement enzymes, then substitution of the α proton with the vinylic functionality appears to be a good design. This design eliminates the possibility of α -deprotonation [as opposed to situating the π -trigger along the side chain or in place of the α -carboxylate (product analogue)], but still allows for α decarboxylation, thereby potentially conferring specificity. This design also likely promotes errant protonation, following decarboxylation, another potential advantage discussed below.

With these design advantages, however, comes a synthetic challenge. One must construct quaternary α -vinyl amino acids, ideally with control of stereochemistry at this quaternary center. Because of the accessibility of amino ester-based enolates, two disconnections are most attractive here, either installation of the vinyl group, via formal vinylation of the enolate derived from the cognate amino acid (AA), or installation of the side chain, via formal alkylation of a vinylglycine enolate equivalent. Initially, we chose the former approach, finding that *N*-benzoyl-protected amino acid esters could be doubly deprotonated and selectively α -alkylated with ethylene oxide, as vinyl cation equivalent [95,96]. Pleasingly, one could carry the protected side chains of even highly functionalized AA's (His, Lys, Orn, hSer, DOPA) into these dianions, suggesting broader application of such an approach to the synthesis of α -alkylated AA's, in general. Of course, these quaternary, α -vinyl AA's, though rapidly assembled, are obtained in racemic form. Coupling this chemistry with an enzymatic resolution via "reverse transesterification" [97] provided a partial, though incomplete, solution to this stereochemical problem.



Fig. 10. Asymmetric synthesis of quaternary, α -vinyl amino acids via a "self-regeneration of stereocenters" approach.



Fig. 11. Asymmetric synthesis of quaternary, α -vinyl amino acids via alkylation of chiral, vinylglycine-derived dienolates.

We next turned to the converse approach, beginning with vinylglycine equivalent and installing the side chain via stereoselective alkylation. On the one hand, we found that N-benzoyl-L- α -vinylglycine methyl ester, available from L-homoserine lactone [98], could be effectively cyclized to the corresponding L-cis and trans-oxazalines, under the aegis of a "PhSe+" equivalent, without loss of absolute stereochemistry (Fig. 10). Separation of these diastereomeric oxazolines give both a D- α -vinyl AA synthon (*cis*) and an L- α -vinyl AA synthon (*trans*) [99]. This is because one sees essentially absolute 1,2-stereoinduction in the alkylation event that introduces the AA side chain. Because one destroys the original α -stereocenter in this step, and exploits the newly installed β -stereocenter to direct the α -alkylation, one may regard this as a "self-reproduction of chirality" [100-102] or a "selfregeneration of stereocenters" approach [103], terms introduced by Seebach. One then unmasks the α -vinyl group through a sequence involving elimination (E2 conditions) to the α -(2'Ephenylseleno)vinyl AA, selenium-tin interchange and protodestannylation. The intermediate conversion of a terminal vinyl selenide to a terminal vinylstannane constituted a fundamentally new method for vinylstannane synthesis. Moreover, the resultant, protected α -(2'E-tributylstannyl)vinyl AA's could be exploited as building blocks for the construction of α -branch-extended analogues via Pd-mediated cross-coupling chemistry [104]. For all of its versatility, this route has the limitation that only particularly reactive S_N2 electrophiles can be efficiently captured in the oxazoline enolate alkylation step.

As a solution to this enolate reactivity issue, a complementary approach to the stereocontrolled synthesis of quaternary α -vinyl amino acids was developed. Namely, the AA side chain is introduced via alkylation of a chiral vinylglycine-derived dianionic dienolate, bearing a β -naphthylmenthyl ester auxiliary (Fig. 11) [105]. Enolate geometry is presumably controlled via amidate



Fig. 12. Synthesis of quaternary, α -(2'Z-fluoro)vinyl amino acids from the corresponding α -vinyl amino acids.

nitrogen chelation to lithium, and facial selectivity follows an "exoextended" model for the reactive conformation of the dienolate. High levels of acyclic stereocontrol are achieved, even for unreactive $S_N 2$ electrophiles. Moreover, given Comins' enzyme resolution technology [106], both antipodes of the requisite (desmethyl) chiral auxiliary are available, providing access to either antipode of the target quaternary α -vinyl AA. More recently in the group, good progress has been made toward transition metal-mediated routes into these densely functionalized β , γ unsaturated AA's, with the potential for catalytic control of stereochemistry. This includes both allylic amination [107–109] and formal [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement approaches [110].

It is important to point out at this stage that the vinyl AA's themselves serve as precursors to other α -branched AA's with potential as enzyme inactivators, including α -oxiranyl [111], α -chlorovinyl [112] and α -bromovinyl AA's. But, most importantly here, we were able to demonstrate that protected, enantiomerically enriched, α -vinyl AA's, available from the chemistry described in Figs. 10 and 11, could be smoothly transformed into the corresponding α -(2'Z-fluoro)vinyl AA's, with preservation of stereochemical purity [113]. As outlined in Fig. 12, this transformation involves an ozonolysis/fluoromethylenation sequence that avails itself of the McCarthy reagent [114,115].

In light of earlier success with the simple α -vinyl trigger and Hafnia alvei L-lysine decarboxylase (LDC) [116], we set to examine the α -(2'Z-fluoro)vinyl trigger [117,118] for AADC inactivation in this active site. Fig. 13 illustrates the proposed mechanism(s) of AADC inactivation with this new AADC suicide substrate trigger. Transaldimination is expected to give external aldimine I, thereby releasing the enzymatic lysine from the internal aldimine linkage. Decarboxylation, the normal second mechanistic step, then provides the quinonoid intermediate, II. While the previously isolated fluorovinyl group is now conjugated with the extended π system of the cofactor, it is not very electrophilic, as it possesses, at once, α , β -unsaturated iminium ion and tetra-enamine substructures. In the third step, protonation at any of three available sites would restore pyridinium ion aromaticity. On the one hand, α protonation would re-isolate the fluorovinyl group, and represents the simple turnover pathway. However, $C_{4'}$ -protonation would have the effect of protonating the tetra-enamine substructure, thereby producing a potent electrophile, the β -fluoro- α , β unsaturated iminium ion **IV**. In this species, the β -fluoro substituent serves the dual role of increasing the electrophilicity of the Michael acceptor, and potentially serving as a leaving group, transforming what would be a conjugate addition mechanism with a simple vinyl trigger into an addition-elimination mechanism with the fluorovinyl trigger. Finally, protonation at the γ -position should lead to release of an enamine-type product, VIII that, most



Fig. 13. Proposed mechanism of PLP-linked lysine decarboxylase inactivation with the new, quaternary, α -(2'-fluoro)vinyl trigger. Green boxes highlight two projected electrophilic pathways for this functionality.

likely would either undergo Mannich condensation with the internal aldimine (Metzler mechanism [77,78]) or expel the γ -fluoride leaving group. This latter pathway would give a second electrophile, **X**, a potentially diffusible α , β -unsaturated iminium ion.

Note that errant protonation (i.e. $C_{4'}$ - and/or γ -protonation) is thus required, by design, for inactivation with this trigger. Consistent with this requirement, early studies by O'Leary and others on AADC mechanism reveal that α -substitution, specifically, replacement of the α -proton with a methyl substituent, tends to promote C_{4'} protonation. Thus, this so-called abortive transamination pathway is known to be increased by 1-2 orders of magnitude upon α -methylation [119–122]. As noted, this validates placement of this π -trigger at the α -carbon, so as to create steric hindrance to α -protonation there. Note further that errant protonation is expected to lead to release of fluoride ion, either (i) prior to enzyme alkylation (α , β -unsaturated iminium ion pathway), (ii) concomitant with enzyme alkylation (β -fluoro- α , β -unsaturated iminium ion pathway) or (iii) subsequent to enzyme adduction (Metzler enamine pathway). This means that ¹⁹F NMR should allow the experimentalist to directly monitor partitioning in the protonation step itself.

An enantioselective synthesis of each antipode of α -(2'Zfluoro)vinyllysine (FVL) was achieved as outlined in Figs. 11 and 12 [123]. 4-Iodo-N-SES-N-PMB-butylamine was used as the S_N2 electrophile for introduction of the lysine side chain. Examination of the individual FVL-antipodes shows the p-enantiomer to be exclusively a substrate, whereas the L-antipode is a suicide substrate, displaying time dependent inactivation of LDC. A Kitz-Wilson analysis of the data for L-FVL, yields k_{inact} = 0.26 ± 0.07 min⁻¹ and K_{I} = 86 ± 22 µM. This is comparable to the k_{inact} values seen for both antipodes of DFMO and (S)-Vigabatrin. The $K_{\rm I}$ value is significantly higher than that seen (~1.3 mM) for L-DFMO [124], but significantly lower than that seen for (S)-Vigabatrin (~3 mM) [125]. Inactivation was seen to be functionally irreversible (exhaustive dialysis). Curvature in $\ln(E_t/E_0)$ vs. t plots was observed, suggestive of significant partitioning into natural or unnatural turnover pathways. A titration of the enzyme, with varying I:E ratios, provided an estimate of the partition ratio (total turnovers per inactivation event) of $\sim 20 \pm 3$.

Independent synthesis of α -(2′Z-fluoro)vinylcadaverine (FVC) established the viablility of following the enzymatic reaction course by ¹⁹F NMR (see Fig. 14 and the FVC- and fluoride-spiking experiments shown). Inactivation of 15 μ M LDC produces



Fig. 14. Observation of turnover and aberrant protonation by 564 MHz ¹⁹F NMR, (a) ¹⁹F NMR spectrum following inactivation of LDC with L- α -(2'Z-fluoro)vinyllysine (L- α -(FVL); (b) addition of KF to (a); (c) addition of α -(2'Z-fluoro)vinylcadaverine (FVC) to (b)

 $168\pm14~\mu M$ turnover product (FVC) and $70\pm13~\mu M$ fluoride. The fluoride value was confirmed with an ion-specific electrode $(78 \pm 5 \ \mu M)$. Since all errant protonations are projected to release fluoride, we can estimate that 1 in 3.2 decarboxylations leads to errant protonation (29%!), with 1 in 5 errant protonation events leading to LDC inactivation. This is remarkable, given that, previously, numbers as high as 4% errant protonation (ornithine DC case) were considered high for studies with α -methyl amino acids in AADC active sites [121]. The only precedent of which we are aware, in which an AADC enzyme could be coaxed into errantly protonating substrate at higher levels involved a deliberate site-directed mutagenesis (Cys-360 to Ala-360) of the active site of T. brucei ODC, carried out in the Phillips lab [126]. Of course, the α -(2/Z-fluoro)vinyl trigger introduced here not only provides steric hindrance to a α -protonation, but also introduces a second site for errant protonation; namely the γ carbon. This may, in part, be responsible for the impressive errant protonation percentage obtained in the LDC active site.

All told, the combination of 1 in 3.2 errant protonations, followed by 1 in 5 of these leading to inactivation of LDC gives an overall partition ratio of 16 \pm 2. The high errant protonation rate (~8 times the maximum value produced by α -methylation) seen in this model AADC active site is promising, as, by design, altered protonation is required for trigger actuation. Given this and the favorable k_{inact} , it will be of interest to examine this trigger in other AADC active sites. The remarkable enantiodiscrimination observed contrasts with the case of DFMO, in which similar behavior has been reported for both antipodes [124].

In summary, synthetic chemistry has been developed that allows for the enantioselective construction of quaternary amino acids, outfitted with an α -(2'Z-fluoro)vinyl trigger. In the first test of this trigger, in the LDC active site, one sees both efficient enzyme inactivation, and the fringe benefit of the ¹⁹F beacon, built into the trigger. Thus, ¹⁹F NMR can be exploited to provide information on trigger partitioning, particularly in the important quinonoid intermediate protonation step. Thus, whereas the traditional titration experiment provides information on the number of inhibitor molecules "turning over" per inactivation event, it provides no information on how those turnovers take place. The ¹⁹F experiment supplies additional fine structure, showing here that approximately 30% of those turnovers do result in the desired errant protonation, with the remaining 70% going on to the usual decarboxylation product (α -protonation). This example, when considered along with the fluoromethyl ketone (serine proteases), 5-fluoropyrimidine (thymidylate synthase) and 2-deoxy-2-fluorosugar (retaining glycosidases and glycosyl transferases) cases discussed earlier in this article, highlights the dual utility of appropriately tailored fluorinated functionality, for both enzyme inhibition and the mechanistic study of that inhibition, in situ, with ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy.

Acknowledgements

We thank the NSF (CHE-0616840) and the NIH (CA 62034 and RR016544-01) for support of methodology development in unnatural amino acid synthesis, investigation of fluorinated triggers and mechanism-based enzyme inhibition efforts in our laboratory.

References

- [1] D. O'Hagan, Chem. Soc. Rev. 37 (2008) 308-319.
- [2] J.C. Biffinger, H.W. Kim, S.G. DiMagno, ChemBioChem 5 (2004) 622-627.
- [3] H.W. Kim, P. Rossi, R.K. Shoemaker, S.G. DiMagno, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 120 (1998) 9082-9083.
- [4] H. Plenio, R. Diodone, Chem. Ber. Recl. 130 (1997) 633-640.
- [5] J.D. Dunitz, R. Taylor, Chem. Eur. J. 3 (1997) 89-98.
- [6] J.A.K. Howard, V.J. Hoy, D. O'Hagan, G.T. Smith, Tetrahedron 52 (1996) 12613-12622
- [7] I. Hyla-Kryspin, G. Haufe, S. Grimme, Chem. Eur. J. 10 (2004) 3411-3422.
- [8] L. Chen, L. Wu, A. Otaka, M.S. Smyth, P.P. Roller, T.R. Burke Jr., J. den Hertog, Z.Y. Zhang Biochem Biophys Res Commun 216 (1995) 976-984
- [9] K. Mueller, C. Faeh, F. Diederich, Science 317 (2007) 1881–1886.
- [10] F.R. Fischer, W.B. Schweizer, F. Diederich, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 46 (2007) 8270-8273.
- [11] E. Schweizer, A. Hoffmann-Roder, K. Scharer, J.A. Olsen, C. Fah, P. Seiler, U. Obst-
- Sander, B. Wagner, M. Kansy, F. Diederich, ChemMedChem 1 (2006) 611-621. R. Paulini, K. Mueller, F. Diederich, Angew, Chem., Int. Ed. 44 (2005) 1788–1805.
- [13] H.B. Burgi, I.D. Dunitz, E. Shefter, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95 (1973) 5065–5067.
- [14] H.B. Buergi, I.D. Dunitz, Acc. Chem. Res. 16 (1983) 153-161.
- D.B. Berkowitz, M. Bose, J. Fluorine Chem. 112 (2001) 13-33. [15]
- [16] D.B. Berkowitz, M. Bose, T.J. Pfannenstiel, T. Doukov, J. Org. Chem. 65 (2000)
- 4498-4508
- [17] J. Nieschalk, A.S. Batsanov, D. O'Hagan, J.A.K. Howard, Tetrahedron 52 (1996) 165-176
- K.L. Kirk, Org. Process Res. Dev. 12 (2008) 305-321. [18]
- J.-P. Begue, D. Bonnet-Delpon, Actualite Chimique 301-302 (2006) 83-87. [19]
- [20] D. O'Hagan, H.S. Rzepa, Chem. Commun. (1997) 645-652.
- [21] R. Pongdee, H.-w. Liu, Bioorg. Chem. 32 (2004) 393-437.
- [22] J.T. Welch, D.S. Lim, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 15 (2007) 6659-6666.
- S.W. Fesik, S.B. Shuker, P.J. Hajduk, R.P. Meadows, Protein Eng. 10 (1997) 73. [23] [24] S.B. Shuker, P.J. Hajduk, R.P. Meadows, S.W. Fesik, Science 274 (1996) 1531-1534
- [25] C. Dong, F. Huang, H. Deng, C. Schaffrath, J.B. Spencer, D. O'Hagan, J.H. Naismith,
- Nature 427 (2004) 561-565. [26] C. Dalvit, Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 51 (2007) 243-271.
- C. Dalvit, E. Ardini, M. Flocco, P. Fogliatto Gian, N. Mongelli, M. Veronesi, J. Am. [27]
- Chem. Soc. 125 (2003) 14620-14625. S. Frutos, T. Tarrago, E. Giralt, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 16 (2006) 2677-2681.
- G. Papeo, P. Giordano, M.G. Brasca, F. Buzzo, D. Caronni, F. Ciprandi, N. Mongelli, [29] M. Veronesi, A. Vulpetti, C. Dalvit, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 129 (2007) 5665–5672. [30] C.M. Furdui, A.K. Sau, O. Yaniv, V. Belakhov, R.W. Woodard, T. Baasov, K.S.
- Anderson, Biochemistry 44 (2005) 7326-7335.
- [31] B. Imperiali, R.H. Abeles, Biochemistry 25 (1986) 3760-3767.
- T.C. Liang, R.H. Abeles, Biochemistry 26 (1987) 7603-7608. [32]
- K. Brady, T.C. Liang, R.H. Abeles, Biochemistry 28 (1989) 9066-9070. [33]
- D.O. Shah, D.G. Gorenstein, Biochemistry 22 (1983) 6096-7101. [34]
- D.G. Gorenstein, D.O. Shah, Biochemistry 21 (1982) 4679-4686.
- K. Brady, A. Wei, D. Ringe, R.H. Abeles, Biochemistry 29 (1990) 7600-7607. [36]
- D. Neidhart, Y. Wei, C. Cassidy, J. Lin, W.W. Cleland, P.A. Frey, Biochemistry 40 [37] (2001) 2439-2447.
- [38] C.N. Schutz, A. Warshel, Proteins 55 (2004) 711-723.
- [39] C.P. Govardhan, R.H. Abeles, Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 280 (1990) 137-146.
- [40] T. Deguchi, R. Shiratake, F. Sato, T. Fujitani, Y. Honda, A. Kiyoshi, M. Notake, G.A. Showell, R.G. Boyle, S.S. Klair, Dainippon Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Japan, Application JP 2002-29496; Patent No. 2003231645, 2003, 44 pp.
- [41] T. Deguchi, R. Shiratake, F. Sato, B. Fujitani, A. Kiyoshi, Y. Honda, M. Notake, Abstracts of Papers 223rd ACS National Meeting, Orlando, FL, United States, April 7-11, 2002 (MEDI-061)
- [42] M.F. Parisi, R.H. Abeles, Biochemistry 31 (1992) 9429-9435.

- [43] K.N. Allen, R.H. Abeles, Biochemistry 28 (1989) 8466-8473.
- [44] I.P. Street, H.K. Lin, F. Laliberte, F. Ghomashchi, Z. Wang, H. Perrier, N.M. Tremblay, Z. Huang, P.K. Weech, M.H. Gelb, Biochemistry 32 (1993) 5935-5940
- [45] G. Rosell, S. Herrero, A. Guerrero, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 226 (1996) 287-292
- [46] R. Natesh, S.L.U. Schwager, E.D. Sturrock, K.R. Acharya, Nature 421 (2003) 551-554.
- [47] M.H. Gelb, J.P. Svaren, R.H. Abeles, Biochemistry 24 (1985) 1813-1817.
- [48] D.B. Longley, D.P. Harkin, P.G. Johnston, Nat. Rev. Cancer 3 (2003) 330-338.
- [49] P. Noordhuis, U. Holwerda, C.L. Van der Wilt, C.J. Van Groeningen, K. Smid, S. Meijer, H.M. Pinedo, G.J. Peters, Ann. Oncol. 15 (2004) 1025-1032.
- [50] T.L. James, A.L. Pogolotti Jr., K.M. Ivanetich, Y. Wataya, S.S.M. Lam, D.V. Santi, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 72 (1976) 404-410.
- [51] C.A. Lewis Jr., P.D. Ellis, R.B. Dunlap, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 83 (1978) 1509-1517.
- [52] C.A. Lewis Jr., P.D. Ellis, R.B. Dunlap, Biochemistry 19 (1980) 116-123.
- [53] C.A. Lewis Jr., P.D. Ellis, R.B. Dunlap, Biochemistry 20 (1981) 2275-2285.
- [54] J.W. Zapf, M.S. Weir, V. Emerick, J.E. Villafranca, R.B. Dunlap, Biochemistry 32 (1993) 9274–9281.
- [55] W. Humphrey, A. Dalke, K. Schulten, J. Mol. Graph. 14 (1) (1996) 33-38.
- [56] S.G. Withers, K. Rupitz, I.P. Street, J. Biol. Chem. 263 (1988) 7929.
- [57] J. Wicki, R. Rose David, S.G. Withers, Methods Enzymol. 354 (2002) 84-105.
- [58] I.P. Street, J.B. Kempton, S.G. Withers, Biochemistry 31 (1992) 9970–9978.
- [59] A. White, D. Tull, K. Johns, S.G. Withers, D.R. Rose, Nat. Struct. Biol. 3 (1996) 149-154.
- [60] D.J. Vocadlo, S.G. Withers, Carbohydr. Res. 340 (2005) 379-388.
- [61] S. Miao, L. Ziser, R. Aebersold, S.G. Withers, Biochemistry 33 (1994) 7027-7032.
- [62] H.D. Ly, S. Howard, K. Shum, S. He, A. Zhu, S.G. Withers, Carbohydr. Res. 329 (2000) 539-547.
- [63] S. Howard, S. He, S.G. Withers, J. Biol. Chem. 273 (1998) 2067-2072.
- [64] A.G. Watts, I. Damager, M.L. Amaya, A. Buschiazzo, P. Alzari, A.C. Frasch, S.G. Withers, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 125 (2003) 7532-7533.
- [65] S.L. Newstead, J.A. Potter, J.C. Wilson, G. Xu, C.-H. Chien, A.G. Watts, S.G. Withers, G.L. Taylor, J. Biol. Chem. 283 (2008) 9080-9088.
- [66] A.G. Watts, P. Oppezzo, S.G. Withers, P.M. Alzari, A. Buschiazzo, J. Biol. Chem. 281 (2006) 4149-4155.
- [67] M.F. Amaya, A.G. Watts, I. Damager, A. Wehenkel, T. Nguyen, A. Buschiazzo, G. Paris, A.C. Frasch, S.G. Withers, P.M. Alzari, Structure 12 (2004) 775-784.
- [68] B.W. Murray, V. Wittmann, M.D. Burkart, S.-C. Hung, C.-H. Wong, Biochemistry 36 (1997) 823-831
- [69] R. Poulin, L. Lu, B. Ackermann, P. Bey, A.E. Pegg, J. Biol. Chem. 267 (1992) 150-158
- [70] N.V. Grishin, A.L. Osterman, H.B. Brooks, M.A. Phillips, E.J. Goldsmith, Biochemistry 38 (1999) 15174-15184.
- [71] P.P. McCann, C.J. Bacchi, A.B. Clarkson Jr., P. Bey, A. Sjoerdsma, P.J. Schecter, P.D. Walzer, J.L. Barlow, Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 35 (1986) 1153-1156.
- [72] H.M. Wallace, A.V. Fraser, Amino Acids 26 (2004) 353-365.
- [73] F.L. Meyskens Jr., E.W. Gerner, Clin. Cancer Res. 5 (1999) 945-951.
- [74] W.A. van der Donk, G. Yu, L. Perez, R.J. Sanchez, J. Stubbe, V. Samano, M.J. Robins, Biochemistry 37 (1998) 6419-6426.
- [75] M.K. Bhattacharjee, E.E. Snell, J. Biol. Chem. 265 (1990) 6664-6668.
- [76] H. Hayashi, S. Tanase, E.E. Snell, J. Biol. Chem. 261 (1986) 11003-11009. [77] J.J. Likos, H. Ueno, R.W. Feldhaus, D.E. Metzler, Biochemistry 21 (1982) 4377-4386
- [78] H. Ueno, J.J. Likos, D.E. Metzler, Biochemistry 21 (1982) 4387-4393.
- [79] N.A. Thornberry, H.G. Bull, D. Taub, K.E. Wilson, G. Gimenez-Gallego, A. Rosegay, D.D. Soderman, A.A. Patchett, J. Biol. Chem. 266 (1991) 21657-21665.
- [80] N.A. Thornberry, H.G. Bull, D. Taub, W.J. Greenlee, A.A. Patchett, E.H. Cordes, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 109 (1987) 7543-7544.
- Y. Xu, R.H. Abeles, Biochemistry 32 (1993) 806-811.
- [82] T.L. Born, J.K. Myers, T.S. Widlanski, F. Rusnak, J. Biol. Chem. 270 (1995) 25651-25655

- [83] J.K. Myers, J.D. Cohen, T.S. Widlanski, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117 (1995) 11049-11054.
- [84] J.K. Myers, T.S. Widlanski, Science 262 (1993) 1451-1453.
- S. Halazy, V. Berges, A. Ehrhard, C. Danzin, Bioorg. Chem. 18 (1990) 330-344. [86] L. Yaouancq, M. Anissimova, M.-A. Badet-Denisot, B. Badet, Eur. J. Org. Chem.
- (2002) 3573-3579. [87] R. Araoz, E. Anhalt, L. Rene, M.-A. Badet-Denisot, P. Courvalin, B. Badet, Bio-
- chemistry 39 (2000) 15971-15979.
- [88] F. Massiere, M.-A. Badet-Denisot, L. Rene, B. Badet, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119 (1997) 5748-5749
- [89] Y. Luo, B. Knuckley, Y.-H. Lee, M.R. Stallcup, P.R. Thompson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 128 (2006) 1092-1093.
- [90] Y. Luo, K. Arita, M. Bhatia, B. Knuckley, Y.-H. Lee, M.R. Stallcup, M. Sato, P.R. Thompson, Biochemistry 45 (2006) 11727-11736.
- [91] D.B. Berkowitz, B.D. Charette, K.R. Karukurichi, J.M. McFadden, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 17 (2006) 869-882.
- [92] G. Capitani, M. Tschopp, A.C. Eliot, J.F. Kirsch, M.G. Gruetter, FEBS Lett. 579 (2005) 2458-2462
- [93] P. Storici, D. De Biase, F. Bossa, S. Bruno, A. Mozzarelli, C. Peneff, R.B. Silverman, T. Schirmer, J. Biol. Chem. 279 (2004) 363-373.
- [94] R.B. Silverman, K.A. Bichler, A.J. Leon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 118 (1996) 1241-1252.
- [95] M.L. Pedersen, D.B. Berkowitz, J. Org. Chem. 58 (1993) 6966-6975.
- [96] M.L. Pedersen, D.B. Berkowitz, Tetrahedron Lett. 33 (1992) 7315–7318
- [97] D.B. Berkowitz, J.A. Pumphrey, Q. Shen, Tetrahedron Lett. 35 (1994) 8743-8746.
- [98] D.B. Berkowitz, M.K. Smith, Synthesis (1996) 39-41.
- [99] D.B. Berkowitz, J.M. McFadden, E. Chisowa, C.L. Semerad, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 122 (2000) 11031-11032.
- [100] D. Seebach, A. Fadel, Helv. Chim. Acta 68 (1985) 1243-1250.
- [101] R. Naef, D. Seebach, Liebigs Ann. Chem. (1983) 1930-1936.
- [102] D. Seebach, M. Boes, R. Naef, W.B. Schweizer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105 (1983) 5390-5398
- [103] D. Seebach, A.R. Sting, M. Hoffmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 35 (1997) 2708-2748.
- [104] D.B. Berkowitz, E. Chisowa, I.M. McFadden, Tetrahedron 57 (2001) 6329-6343.
- [105] D.B. Berkowitz, J.M. McFadden, M.K. Sloss, J. Org. Chem. 65 (2000) 2907-2918.
- [106] D.L. Comins, J.M. Salvador, J. Org. Chem. 58 (1993) 4656-4661.
- [107] D.B. Berkowitz, W. Shen, G. Maiti, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 15 (2004) 2845-2851.
- [108] D.B. Berkowitz, G. Maiti, Org. Lett. 6 (2004) 2661-2664.
- [109] D.B. Berkowitz, M. Bose, S. Choi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 41 (2002) 1603-1607.
- [110] D.B. Berkowitz, B. Wu, H. Li, Org. Lett. 8 (2006) 971-974.
- [111] D.B. Berkowitz, M.L. Pedersen, J. Org. Chem. 60 (1995) 5368–5369.
 [112] D.B. Berkowitz, M.L. Pedersen, W.-J. Jahng, Tetrahedron Lett. 37 (1996) 4309– 4312
- [113] D.B. Berkowitz, R. De la Salud-Bea, W.-J. Jahng, Org. Lett. 6 (2004) 1821-1824. [114] J.R. McCarthy, D.P. Matthews, D.M. Stemerick, E.W. Huber, P. Bey, B.J. Lippert,
- R.D. Snyder, P.S. Sunkara, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 113 (1991) 7439-7440. [115] J.R. McCarthy, D.P. Matthews, M.L. Edwards, D.M. Stemerick, E.T. Jarvi, Tetrahedron Lett. 31 (1990) 5449-5452.
- [116] D.B. Berkowitz, W.-J. Jahng, M.L. Pedersen, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 6 (1996) 2151-2156.
- [117] A.J. Leon, R.B. Silverman, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 6 (1996) 1319-1322.
- [118] R.B. Silverman, K.A. Bichler, A.J. Leon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 118 (1996) 1253-1261.
- [119] B.S. Sukhareva, H.C. Dunathan, A.E. Braunshtein, FEBS Lett. 15 (1971) 241-244.
- [120] M.H. O'Leary, R.L. Baughn, J. Biol. Chem. 252 (1977) 7168-7173.
- [121] M.H. O'Leary, R.M. Herreid, Biochemistry 17 (1978) 1010-1014.
- [122] M. Akhtar, D.E. Stevenson, D. Gani, Biochemistry 29 (1990) 7648-7660.
- [123] K.R. Karukurichi, R. De la Salud-Bea, W.J. Jahng, D.B. Berkowitz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 129 (2007) 258-259.
- [124] N. Qu, N.A. Ignatenko, P. Yamauchi, D.E. Stringer, C. Levenson, P. Shannon, S. Perrin, E.W. Gerner, Biochem. J. 375 (2003) 465-470.
- [125] Y. Pan, J. Qiu, R.B. Silverman, J. Med. Chem. 46 (2003) 5292-5293.
- [126] L.K. Jackson, H.B. Brooks, A.L. Osterman, E.J. Goldsmith, M.A. Phillips, Biochemistry 39 (2000) 11247-11257.